

Gauge equivalence between 1 + 1 rational Calogero–Moser field theory and higher rank Landau–Lifshitz equation

*K. Atalikhov^{+*1)}, A. Zotov^{+*×1)}*

⁺*Steklov Mathematical Institute of Russian Academy of Sciences, 119991 Moscow, Russia*

^{*}*National Research Center “Kurchatov Institute”, 123182 Moscow, Russia*

[×]*National Research University Higher School of Economics, 119048 Moscow, Russia*

Submitted 14 March 2023
Resubmitted 14 March 2023
Accepted 18 March 2023

DOI: 10.31857/S1234567823080128, EDN: wjlsjw

The 1 + 1 field generalization of the Calogero–Moser model was proposed in [1, 2], see also [3]. The Hamiltonian is given by the following expression:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}^{2dCM} = & \oint dx H^{2dCM}(x), \\ H^{2dCM}(x) = & \sum_{i=1}^N p_i^2 (c - kq_{ix}) - \\ & - \frac{1}{Nc} \left(\sum_{i=1}^N p_i (c - kq_{ix}) \right)^2 - \\ & - \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{k^4 q_{ix}^2}{4(c - kq_{ix})} + \frac{k^3}{2} \sum_{i \neq j}^N \frac{q_{ix} q_{jxx} - q_{jx} q_{ixx}}{q_i - q_j} - \\ & - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i \neq j}^N \frac{1}{(q_i - q_j)^2} \left[(c - kq_{ix})^2 (c - kq_{jx}) + \right. \\ & \left. + (c - kq_{ix})(c - kq_{jx})^2 - ck^2 (q_{ix} - q_{jx})^2 \right], \quad (1) \end{aligned}$$

where x is the (space) field variable and $k \in \mathbb{C}$ is a constant parameter. The momenta p_i and coordinates q_j are canonically conjugated fields: $\{q_i(x), p_j(y)\} = \delta_{ij} \delta(x - y)$. The model (1) is integrable in the sense that it has algebro-geometric solutions and equations of motion are represented in the Zakharov–Shabat (or Lax or zero curvature) form: $\partial_t U(z) - k \partial_x V(z) + [U(z), V(z)] = 0$, where U - V pair $U^{2dCM}(z), V^{2dCM}(z) \in \text{Mat}(N, \mathbb{C})$ is a pair of matrix valued functions of the fields $p_j(x), q_j(x), j = 1, \dots, N$ and their derivatives. They also depend on the spectral parameter z . Explicit expression for U - V pair can be found in [1, 2]. It was argued in [3] that there exist a gauge transformation $G(z) \in \text{Mat}(N, \mathbb{C})$, which

transforms U - V pair for the field Calogero–Moser model to the one for some Landau–Lifshitz type model:

$$U^{LL}(z) = G(z) U^{2dCM}(z) G^{-1}(z) + k \partial_x G(z) G^{-1}(z). \quad (2)$$

For the $N = 2$ case explicit construction of the matrix $G(z)$ and the change of variables was derived in our paper [4], and the Landau–Lifshitz model for GL_2 rational R -matrix was derived in [5]. The goal of this article is to define the gauge transformation in gl_N case, describe the corresponding Landau–Lifshitz type model and find explicit change of variables using relation (2).

Recently the 1 + 1 field generalization of the so-called rational top model was suggested in [6]. It is given by Landau–Lifshitz type equation, i.e. the field variables are arranged into $N \times N$ matrix S and the Poisson structure is linear: $\{S_{ij}(x), S_{kl}(y)\} = N^{-1} (S_{il}(x) \delta_{kj} - S_{kj}(x) \delta_{il}) \delta(x - y)$. The construction of the Landau–Lifshitz equation and its U - V pair is based on R -matrix satisfying the associative Yang–Baxter equation [7, 8]: $R_{12}^h R_{23}^\eta = R_{13}^\eta R_{12}^{h-\eta} + R_{23}^{\eta-h} R_{13}^h$, $R_{ab}^x = R_{ab}^x(z_a - z_b)$. Suppose $\text{rank}(S) = 1$, so that $S^2 = cS$, $c = \text{tr}(S)$. Then the Landau–Lifshitz equation reads:

$$\partial_t S = k^{-2} c [S, \partial_x^2 S] + 2c [S, J(S)] - 2k [S, E(\partial_x S)], \quad (3)$$

where $E(S) = \text{tr}_2 \left(r_{12}^{(0)} \overset{2}{S} \right)$, $\overset{2}{S} = 1_N \otimes S$ and $J(S) = \text{tr}_2 \left(m_{12}(0) \overset{2}{S} \right)$ are defined through the coefficients of R -matrix expansion in the classical limit $R_{12}^h(z) = \hbar^{-1} 1_N \otimes 1_N + r_{12}(z) + \hbar m_{12}(z) + O(\hbar^2)$ and $r_{12}^{(0)}$ is the coefficient in the expansion $r_{12}(z) = z^{-1} P_{12} + r_{12}^{(0)} + O(z)$, where P_{12} is the permutation operator. Equations

¹⁾e-mail: kantemir.atalikhov@yandex.ru; zotov@mi-ras.ru

tions (3) are Hamiltonian with the following Hamiltonian function:

$$H^{\text{LL}} = \oint dy \left(cN \text{tr} \left(S J(S) \right) - \frac{Nk^2}{2c} \text{tr} \left(\partial_y S \partial_y S \right) + kN \text{tr} \left(\partial_y S E(S) \right) \right), \quad S = S(y). \quad (4)$$

In this paper we use the rational R -matrix calculated in [9]. In the $N = 2$ case it reproduces the 11-vertex R -matrix found by I. Cherednik [10]. For $N > 2$ all its properties, different possible forms and explicit expressions for the coefficients of expansions near $z = 0$ and $\hbar = 0$ can be found in [11].

The statement is that by applying the gauge transformation with a certain matrix $G(z)$ we obtain the desired relation (2). Calculations are performed similarly to those in 0 + 1 mechanics [12]. As a result we obtain explicit change of variables expressed through elementary symmetric functions σ_k :

$$S_{ij} = \frac{(-1)^{\varrho(j)+1}}{N} \times \sum_{m=1}^N \frac{(q_m)^{\varrho(i)} (\tilde{p}_m + \frac{k\alpha_{m\varrho}}{\alpha_m}) + \alpha_m^2 \varrho(i) (q_m)^{\varrho(i)-1}}{\prod_{l \neq m} (q_m - q_l)} \sigma_{\varrho(j)}(q),$$

$$\tilde{p}_j = p_j - \sum_{l \neq j}^N \frac{\alpha_j^2}{q_j - q_l} \quad (5)$$

(here $\varrho(i) = i - 1$ for $i \leq N - 1$ and $\varrho(i) = N$ for $i = N$) with the properties

$$\text{Spec}(S) = (0, \dots, 0, c), \quad \text{rk}(S) = 1, \quad \text{tr}(S) = c, \quad S^2 = cS, \quad (6)$$

where $\alpha_i^2 = kq_{ix} - c$. It can be also verified that the Poisson brackets for $S_{ij}(p, q, c)$ calculated through the canonical brackets for p_i, q_j indeed reproduce the linear Poisson structure, so that (5) is a Poisson map. The Hamiltonian (1) of 1 + 1 field Calogero–Moser

model coincides with the one (4) for the Landau–Lifshitz equation under the change of variables (5): $H^{\text{LL}}[S(p(x), q(x))] = H^{\text{2dCM}}[p(x), q(x)]$.

This work was supported by the Russian Science Foundation under grant # 21-41-09011, <https://rscf.ru/en/project/21-41-09011/>.

This is an excerpt of the article “Gauge equivalence between 1 + 1 rational Calogero–Moser field theory and higher rank Landau–Lifshitz equation”. Full text of the paper is published in JETP Letters journal. DOI: 10.1134/S0021364023600714

1. I. Krichever, Commun. Math. Phys. **229**, 229 (2002); arXiv:hep-th/0108110.
2. A. A. Akhmetshin, I. M. Krichever, and Y. S. Volvovski, Funct. Anal. Appl. **36**(4), 253 (2002); arXiv:hep-th/0203192.
3. A. Levin, M. Olshanetsky, and A. Zotov, Commun. Math. Phys. **236**, 93 (2003); arXiv:nlin/0110045.
4. K. Atalikov and A. Zotov, J. Geom. Phys. **164**, 104161 (2021) 104161; arXiv:2010.14297 [hep-th].
5. A. Levin, M. Olshanetsky, and A. Zotov, Nucl. Phys. B **887**, 400 (2014); arXiv:1406.2995 [math-ph].
6. K. Atalikov and A. Zotov, JETP Lett. **115**, 757 (2022); arXiv:2204.12576 [math-ph].
7. S. Fomin and A. N. Kirillov, *Advances in geometry, Progress in Mathematics book series*, Springer, N.Y. (1999), v. 172, p. 147.
8. A. Polishchuk, Adv. Math. **168**(1), 56 (2002); arXiv:math/0008156 [math.AG].
9. A. Levin, M. Olshanetsky, and A. Zotov, JHEP **07**, 012 (2014); arXiv:1405.7523 [hep-th].
10. I. V. Cherednik, Theor. Math. Phys. **43**(1), 356 (1980).
11. K. Atalikov and A. Zotov, arXiv:2303.02391 [math-ph].
12. G. Aminov, S. Arthamonov, A. Smirnov, and A. Zotov, J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. **47**, 305207 (2014); arXiv:1402.3189. [hep-th].