



ИТОГИ НАУКИ И ТЕХНИКИ.
Современная математика и ее приложения.
Тематические обзоры.
Том 214 (2022). С. 107–126
DOI: 10.36535/0233-6723-2022-214-107-126

УДК 512.7

ПОЛИНОМИАЛЬНЫЕ АВТОМОРФИЗМЫ, КВАНТОВАНИЕ
И ЗАДАЧИ ВОКРУГ ГИПОТЕЗЫ ЯКОБИАНА.
II. ДОКАЗАТЕЛЬСТВО КВАНТОВАНИЯ
ТЕОРЕМЫ БЕРГМАНА О ЦЕНТРАЛИЗАТОРЕ

© 2022 г. А. М. ЕЛИШЕВ, А. Я. КАТЕЛЬ-БЕЛОВ,
Ф. РАЗАВИНИЯ, Ц.-Т. ЮЙ, В. ЧЖАН

Посвящается памяти Евгения Соломоновича Голода

Аннотация. Целью данного обзора является систематизация результатов, касающихся квантового подхода к некоторым классическим аспектам некоммутативных алгебр, особенно к гипотезе о якобиане. Работа начинается с квантования доказательства теоремы Бергмана о централизации, затем обсуждаются автоморфизмы автоморфизмов INd-схем и вопросы аппроксимации. Последняя глава посвящена связи между теоремами типа Бернсайда теории PI и гипотезой Якоби (подход Ягжева). В данном выпуске публикуется вторая часть работы. Первая часть: Итоги науки и техники. Современная математика и ее приложения. Тематические обзоры. — 2022. — 213. — С. 110–144. Продолжение будет опубликовано в следующих выпусках.

Ключевые слова: автоморфизм, квантование, гипотеза о Якобиане.

POLYNOMIAL AUTOMORPHISMS, QUANTIZATION,
AND JACOBIAN CONJECTURE RELATED PROBLEMS.
II. QUANTIZATION PROOF
OF BERGMAN'S CENTRALIZER THEOREM

© 2022 А. М. ELISHEV, А. Ya. KANEL-BELOV,
F. RAZAVINIA, J.-T. YU, W. ZHANG

ABSTRACT. The purpose of this review is the collection and systematization of results concerning the quantization approach to the some classical aspects of non-commutative algebras, especially to the Jacobian conjecture. We start with quantization proof of Bergman centralizing theorem, then discourse automorphisms of INd-schemes automorphisms, then go to approximation issues. Last chapter dedicated to relations between PI -theory Burnside type theorems and Jacobian Conjecture (Jagzev approach). This issue contains the second part of the work. The first part is: Itogi Nauki Tekhn. Sovr. Mat. Prilozh. Temat. Obzory, **213** (2022), pp. 110–144. Continuation will be published in future issues.

Keywords and phrases: automorphism, quantization, Jacobian conjecture.

AMS Subject Classification: 14R10, 18G85

CONTENTS

Chapter 2. Quantization proof of Bergman's centralizer theorem	108
2.1. Centralizer Theorems	108
2.2. Reduction to Generic Matrix	110
2.3. Quantization Proof of Rank One	111
2.4. Centralizers Are Integrally Closed	113
References	117

CHAPTER 2

QUANTIZATION PROOF OF BERGMAN'S CENTRALIZER THEOREM

We first give a brief summary of the background of two well-known centralizer theorems in the power series ring and in the free associative algebra, i.e., Cohn's centralizer theorem and Bergman's centralizer theorem.

2.1. CENTRALIZER THEOREMS

This section is a relatively independent part of the paper, and only sketches proofs with classic tools, while the following sections will focus on the new proof of Bergman's centralizer theorem.

Throughout this section, X is a finite set of noncommutative variables, and k is a field. Let X^* denote the free monoid generated by X . Let $k\langle X \rangle$ and $k[X]$ denote the k -algebra of formal series and noncommutative polynomials (i.e., the free associative algebra over k) in X , respectively. Both elements of $k\langle X \rangle$ and $k[X]$ have the form

$$a = \sum_{\omega \in X^*} a_\omega \omega,$$

where $a_\omega \in k$ is the coefficient of the word w in a , but they have different details inside the above formula. An element of $k[X]$ is only a finite sum of words, while there are infinitely many terms of the sum for an element in $k\langle X \rangle$. The multiplication of elements in $k\langle X \rangle$ is the concatenation of words and normal multiplication of coefficients. We can only combine the coefficients which have the same corresponding words for addition. The *length* $|\omega|$ of a word $\omega \in X^*$ is the number of letters inside ω .

Now we can define the valuation

$$\nu : k\langle X \rangle \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$$

as follows: $\nu(0) = \infty$ and if $a = \sum_{\omega \in X^*} a_\omega \omega \neq 0$, then $\nu(a) = \min\{|\omega| : a_\omega \neq 0\}$. Note that if ω is constant, then $\nu(\omega) = 0$ and $\nu(ab) = \nu(a) + \nu(b)$ for all a, b in $k\langle X \rangle$.

For the words valuation, there is an easy but quite useful lemma [178].

Lemma 2.1.1 (Levi's Lemma). *Let $\omega_1, \omega_2, \omega_3, \omega_4 \in X^*$ be nonzero with $|\omega_2| \geq |\omega_4|$. If $\omega_1\omega_2 = \omega_3\omega_4$, then $\omega_2 = \omega\omega_4$ for some $\omega \in X^*$.*

The proof is trivial by backward induction on $|\omega_2|$ since ω_2 has the same last letter as ω_4 . Next lemma extends Levi's lemma to $k\langle X \rangle$, and we post the result as follows.

Lemma 2.1.2 (see [139, Lemma 9.1.2]). *Let $a, b, c, d \in k\langle X \rangle$ be nonzero. If $\nu(a) \geq \nu(c)$ and $ab = cd$, then $a = cq$ for some $q \in k\langle X \rangle$.*

Proof. We can fix a word u which appears in b and $|u| = \nu(b)$. Suppose v is any nonzero word appearing in d , then we have

$$|v| \geq \nu(d) = \nu(a) + \nu(b) - \nu(c) \geq \nu(b) = |u|. \quad (2.1.1)$$

Let w be any word in X^* . The coefficient of wu in ab is $\sum_{rs=wu} a_r b_s$, where a_r and b_s are the coefficients of the words r, s which appear in a, b respectively. Similarly, the coefficient of wu in cd is $\sum_{yz=wu} c_y d_z$. Since $ab = cd$, we have

$$\sum_{rs=wu} a_r b_s = \sum_{yz=wu} c_y d_z. \quad (2.1.2)$$

By the inequality 2.1.1, we have $|z| \geq |u|$, and $|s| \geq |u|$ by the definition of u . Thus $rs = wu$ and $yz = wu$ imply $s = s_1 u$ and $z = z_1 u$ for some $s_1, z_1 \in X^*$, by Levi's lemma. Hence $rs_1 = yz_1 = w$ and we can rewrite the formula 2.1.2 as

$$\sum_{rs_1=w} a_r b_{s_1 u} = \sum_{yz_1=w} c_y d_{z_1 u}. \quad (2.1.3)$$

Let

$$b' = \sum_{s_1 \in X^*} b_{s_1 u} s_1, \quad d' = \sum_{z_1 \in X^*} b_{z_1 u} z_1.$$

Then the equation gives $ab' = cd'$. The constant term of b' is $b_u \neq 0$ and hence b' is invertible in $k\langle\langle X \rangle\rangle$. Hence if we let $q = d'b'^{-1}$, then $a = cq$. $\square$

2.1.1. Cohn's centralizer theorem. With the help of the preceding lemmas, we could post and prove this well-known centralizer theorem of k -algebra of formal series by P. M. Cohn.

Theorem 2.1.3 (Cohn's Centralizer Theorem, [62]). *If $a \in k\langle\langle X \rangle\rangle$ is not a constant, then the centralizer $C(a; k\langle\langle X \rangle\rangle) \cong k[[x]]$, where $k[[x]]$ is the algebra of formal power series in the variable x .*

Proof. Let $C := C(a; k\langle\langle X \rangle\rangle)$. Let a_0 be the constant term of a , then it is clear that $C = C(a - a_0; k\langle\langle X \rangle\rangle)$. So we may assume that the constant term of a is zero. Thus we have a nonempty set $A = \{c \in C : \nu(c) > 0\}$ because $a \in C$ and so there exists $b \in A$ such that $\nu(b)$ is minimal. An easy observation is that $k[[b]] \cong k[[x]]$. Because suppose $\sum_{i \geq m} \beta_i b^i = 0, \beta_i \in k, \beta_m \neq 0$, then we must have $\infty = \nu(\sum_{i \geq m} \beta_i b^i) = \nu(b^m) = m\nu(b)$, which is absurd. So we just need to show that $C = k[[b]]$. Assume that an element $c \in C$ is not constant. Our first claim is that there exist $\beta_i \in k$ such that

$$\nu(c - \sum_{i=0}^n \beta_i b^i) \geq (n+1)\nu(b). \quad (2.1.4)$$

The proof is by induction on n . let β_0 be the constant term of c . Then $c - \beta_0 \in A$ and thus $\nu(c - \beta_0) \geq \nu(b)$, by the minimality of b . This proves the $n = 0$ case for the inequality 2.1.4.

Now we need the second claim to complete this induction proof. Our second claim is following: suppose that the constant term of an element $a \in k\langle\langle X \rangle\rangle$ is zero and $b, c \in C \setminus \{0\}$. If $\nu(c) \geq \nu(b)$, then $c = bd$ for some $d \in C$. In fact, since the constant term of an element $a \in k\langle\langle X \rangle\rangle$ is zero we have $\nu(a) \geq 1$. Thus for n large enough, we have $\nu(a^n) = n\nu(a) \geq \nu(c)$. we also have $a^n c = ca^n$ because $c \in C$. Thus, by lemma 2.1.2, $a^n = cq$ for some $q \in k\langle\langle X \rangle\rangle$. Hence, $cqb = a^n b = ba^n$ and since $\nu(c) \geq \nu(b)$, we have $c = bd$, for some $d \in k\langle\langle X \rangle\rangle$, by lemma 2.1.2. Finally,

$$bad = abd = ac = ca = bda,$$

which gives $ad = da$, i.e. $d \in C$.

Now let us continue to prove the first claim. Suppose we have found $\beta_0, \dots, \beta_n \in k$ such that $\nu(c - \sum_{i=0}^n \beta_i b^i) \geq (n+1)\nu(b)$. Then since $(n+1)\nu(b) = \nu(b^{n+1})$, we have $c - \sum_{i=0}^n \beta_i b^i = b^{n+1}d$ for some $d \in C$, by the second claim we proved above. If d is a constant, we are done because then $c \in k[b] \subset k[[b]]$. Otherwise, let β_{n+1} be the constant term of d . Then $d - \beta_{n+1} \in A$ and hence

$\nu(d - \beta_{n+1}) > \nu(b)$ by the minimality of b . Therefore, by the first claim, $d - \beta_{n+1} = bd'$ for some $d' \in C$. Hence

$$c - \sum_{i=0}^n \beta_i b^i = b^{n+1}d = b^{n+1}(bd' + \beta_{n+1}) = b^{n+2}d' + \beta_{n+1}b^{n+1},$$

which gives $c - \sum_{i=0}^{n+1} \beta_i b^i = b^{n+2}d'$. Hence

$$\nu(c - \sum_{i=0}^{n+1} \beta_i b^i) = \nu(b^{n+2}d') = (n+2)\nu(b) + \nu(d') \geq (n+2)\nu(b).$$

This completes the induction, then we are done because $\nu(c - \sum_{i \geq 0} \beta_i b^i) = \infty$ and so $c = \sum_{i \geq 0} \beta_i b^i \in k[[b]]$. $\square$

2.1.2. Bergman's centralizer theorem. Now since $k\langle X \rangle \subset K\langle\langle X \rangle\rangle$, it follows from the above theorem that if $a \in k\langle X \rangle$ is not constant, then $C(a; k\langle X \rangle)$ is commutative because $C(a; K\langle\langle X \rangle\rangle)$ is commutative. The next theorem is our main goal which shows that there is a similar result for $C(a; k\langle X \rangle)$.

Theorem 2.1.4 (Bergman's Centralizer Theorem, [45]). *If $a \in k\langle X \rangle$ is not constant, then the centralizer $C(a; k\langle X \rangle) \cong k[x]$, where $k[x]$ is the polynomial algebra in one variable x .*

We will not fully recover the original proof of Bergman's centralizer theorem since this is not our main idea. However, we would take some necessary result in his original proof [45] which helps us to finish the proof of that the centralizer is integrally closed. This will be shown in the Subsection 2.4.3.

First of all, we need to emphasize that the proof of Cohn's centralizer theorem is included. Here is a sketch of the proof.

For simplicity, we denote by $C := C(a; k\langle X \rangle)$ the centralizer of a which from now on is not a constant. Recall that the centralizer C is also commutative. Moreover, C is finitely generated, as module over $k[a]$ or as algebra. Then since $k\langle X \rangle$ is a 2-fir (free ideal rings, cf. Lemma 1.5 in [45]), and the center of a 2-fir is integrally closed, we obtain that the centralizer of a is integrally closed in its field of fractions after using the lifting to $k\langle X \rangle \otimes k(x)$ (where x is a free variable). Then our aim is to show that C is a polynomial ring over k . In order to get this fact we shall study homomorphisms of C into polynomial rings. By using "infinite" words, we obtained an embedding from C into polynomial rings by lexicographically ordered semigroup algebras, which completes this sketch of the proof. Indeed, any subalgebra not equal to k of a polynomial algebra $k[x]$ that is integrally closed in its own field of fractions is of form $k[y]$ (by Lüroth's theorem).

We conclude this section by pointing out that the method of "infinite" words inspires us to find a possibility to prove Bergman's centralizer theorem by deformation quantization. In the next section, we will establish this new approach of quantization for generic matrices.

2.2. REDUCTION TO GENERIC MATRIX

In this section, we will establish an important theorem which gives a relation of commutative subalgebras in the free associative algebra and the algebra of generic matrices. Let $k\langle X \rangle$ be the free associative algebra over a field k generated by a finite set $X = \{x_1, \dots, x_s\}$ of s indeterminates, and let $k\langle X_1, \dots, X_s \rangle$ be the algebra of $n \times n$ generic matrices generated by the matrices X_ν . The canonical homomorphism $\pi : k\langle x_1, \dots, x_s \rangle \rightarrow k\langle X_1, \dots, X_s \rangle$ shows in last section.

We claim that if we have a commutative subalgebra of rank two in the free associative algebra $k\langle X \rangle$, then we also have a commutative subalgebra of rank two if we consider a reduction to generic matrices of big enough order n . We also call two elements of a free algebra *algebraically independent* if the subalgebra generated by these two elements is a free algebra of rank two. Otherwise we will call them *algebraically dependent*.

In other words, if we have a commutative subalgebra $k[f, g]$ of rank two in the free associative algebra, then we have to prove that its projection to generic matrices of some order also has rank two. i.e. $\pi(f), \pi(g)$ do not have any relations.

We need following theorem:

Theorem 2.2.1. *Let $k\langle X \rangle$ be the free associative algebra over a field k generated by a finite set X of indeterminates. If $k\langle X \rangle$ has a commutative subalgebra with two algebraically independent generators $f, g \in k\langle X \rangle$, then the subalgebra of n by n generic matrices generated by reduction of f and g in $k\langle X_1, \dots, X_s \rangle$ also has rank two for big enough n .*

Proof. Assume $k[f, g]$ be a commutative subalgebra generated by $f, g \in k\langle X \rangle \setminus k$ with rank two. We denote $\bar{f}, \bar{g} \in k\langle X_1, \dots, X_s \rangle$ to be the generic matrices of f and g respectively after reduction (??) of algebra of generic matrices with $n \times n$. The rank of $k\langle \bar{f}, \bar{g} \rangle$ must be ≤ 2 (i.e. it must be 1 or 2). Suppose the rank is 1, then for any two elements $a, b \in k\langle \bar{f}, \bar{g} \rangle$, there exists a minimal polynomial $P(x, y) \in k[x, y]$ (x, y are two free variables) with degree m such that $P(\bar{a}, \bar{b}) = 0$ because the algebra of generic matrices is a domain by Theorem ???. On the other hand, by Amitsur-Levitzki Theorem ??, there exists no polynomial with degree less than $2n$, such that $P(\bar{a}, \bar{b}) = 0$. This leads to be a contradiction if we choose $n > [m/2]$. $\square$

Recall from the section 2.1.2 that the centralizer $C := C(a; k\langle X \rangle)$ of $a \in k\langle X \rangle \setminus k$ is a commutative subalgebra of $k\langle X \rangle$, so from the above theorem, we conclude that if the centralizer is a subalgebra in $k\langle X \rangle$ of rank two then the π -image subalgebra of C has also rank two.

However, we prefer discussing this general case of subalgebras instead of just consider a centralizer subalgebra. Furthermore, we want to prove that there is no commutative subalgebras of the free associative algebra $k\langle X \rangle$ of rank greater than or equal to two.

2.3. QUANTIZATION PROOF OF RANK ONE

Up to our knowledge, there is no new proofs has been appeared after Bergman [45] for almost fifty years. We are using a method of deformation quantization presented by M. Kontsevich to give an alternative proof of Bergman's centralizer theorem. In this section [120], we got that the centralizer is a commutative domain of transcendence degree one.

Let $k\langle X \rangle$ be the free associative algebra over a field k generated by s free variables $X = \{x_1, \dots, x_s\}$. Now, we concentrate on our proof that there is no commutative subalgebras of rank greater than or equal to two. From the homomorphism $\pi : k\langle x_1, \dots, x_s \rangle \rightarrow k\langle X_1, \dots, X_s \rangle$ and Theorem 2.2.1, we are moving our goal from the elements of $k\langle X \rangle$ to the algebra of generic matrices $k\langle X_1, \dots, X_s \rangle$, and we consider the quantization of this algebra and its subalgebras.

Let A, B be two commuting generic matrices in $k\langle X_1, \dots, X_s \rangle$ which are algebraically independent, i.e. $\text{rank } k\langle A, B \rangle = 2$. We have the following theorem.

Theorem 2.3.1. *Let A, B be two commuting generic matrices in $k\langle X_1, \dots, X_s \rangle$ with $\text{rank } k\langle A, B \rangle = 2$, and let $\hat{A}$ and $\hat{B}$ be quantized images (by sending multiplications to star products by means of Kontsevich's formal quantization) of A and B respectively by considering lifting A and B in $k\langle X_1, \dots, X_s \rangle[[\hbar]]$. Then $\hat{A}$ and $\hat{B}$ do not commute. Moreover,*

$$\frac{1}{\hbar}[\hat{A}, \hat{B}]_\star \equiv \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\hbar}\{\lambda_1, \mu_1\} & & 0 \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & & \frac{1}{\hbar}\{\lambda_n, \mu_n\} \end{pmatrix} \pmod{\hbar} \quad (2.3.1)$$

where λ_i and μ_i are eigenvalues(weights) of A and B respectively.

To prove this theorem, we need some preparations. It is not easy to directly compute such two generic matrices with order n . However, if we can diagonalize those matrices, then computation will be easier. So first of all, we should show the possibilities. Without loss of generality, we may assume

that one of the generic matrices B is diagonal if we have a proper choice of basis of the algebra of generic matrices. Now consider the other generic matrix A which we mentioned above.

Remark 2.3.2. *The generic matrix A may not be diagonalizable over $k[x_{ij}^{(\nu)}]$, but it can be diagonalized over some integral extension of the algebra $k[x_{ij}^{(\nu)}]$ with $i, j = 1, \dots, n; \nu = 1, \dots, s$.*

Remark 2.3.3. *Any non-scalar element A of the algebra of generic matrices must have distinct eigenvalues. In fact, by Amitsur's Theorem ??, namely, the algebra of generic matrices is an domain, if the minimal polynomial is not a central polynomial, then the algebra can be embedded to a skew field. Hence, the minimal polynomial is irreducible, and the eigenvalues are pairwise different.*

Lemma 2.3.4. *Let $\hat{A} \equiv A_0 + \hbar A_1 \pmod{\hbar^2}$ be the quantized image of a generic matrix $A \in k\langle X_1, \dots, X_s \rangle$, where A_0 is diagonal with distinct eigenvalues. Then, the quantized images $\hat{A}$ can be diagonalized over some finite extension of $k[x_{ij}^{(\nu)}]$.*

Proof. Without loss of generality, suppose A_0 is a diagonal generic matrix with distinct eigenvalues. We want to show that there exists an invertible generic matrix P , such that PAP^{-1} is diagonal. Now we consider their images on $k\langle X_1, \dots, X_s \rangle[[\hbar]]$, we may assume $\hat{P} = I + \hbar T$ and the conjugation inverse $\hat{P}^{-1} = I - \hbar T \pmod{\hbar^2}$ (where I is the identity matrix). Then we have

$$(I + \hbar T)(A_0 + \hbar A_1)(I - \hbar T) = A_0 + \hbar([T, A_0] + A_1) \pmod{\hbar^2},$$

and we need to solve the equation $[T, A_0] = -A_1$.

This is clear since A_0 is diagonal. Let $A_0 = \text{diag}\{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n\}$, $T = (t_{ij})_{n \times n}$ and $A_1 = (a_{ij})_{n \times n}$, then we have $[T, A_0] = ((\lambda_i - \lambda_j)t_{ij})_{n \times n}$. Hence,

$$T = (t_{ij})_{n \times n} = \left(-\frac{a_{ij}}{\lambda_i - \lambda_j} \right)_{n \times n}.$$

So far, we have determined the $\hbar$ term of the matrix $\hat{A}$. Hence, we may assume $\hat{A} \equiv A_0 + \hbar^2 A_2 \pmod{\hbar^3}$, then we continue to cancel the $\hbar^2$ term. Let $\hat{P}_2 = I + \hbar^2 T_2$, and the conjugation inverse $\hat{P}_2^{-1} = I - \hbar^2 T_2$. Then, we have

$$(I + \hbar^2 T_2)(A_0 + \hbar^2 A_2)(I - \hbar^2 T_2) = A_0 + \hbar^2([T_2, A_0] + A_2) \pmod{\hbar^3},$$

Hence, T_2 is determined by equation $[T_2, A_0] = -A_2 = (a_{ij}^{(2)})_{n \times n}$. Similar computation give all entries of T_2 , namely

$$T_2 = \left(-\frac{a_{ij}^{(2)}}{\lambda_i - \lambda_j} \right)_{n \times n}.$$

Continue this process to cancel the term of $\hbar^3$ etc., we obtain equations $[T_i, A_0] = -A_i$ for $i = 3, 4, 5, \dots$. This leads to the result that A could be diagonalized over the extension $k[x_{ij}^{(\nu)}][\frac{1}{\lambda_i - \lambda_j}]$. $\square$

Now A, B are two algebraically independent but commuting generic matrices in $k\langle X_1, \dots, X_s \rangle$. From previous discussion, we may assume A and B can be both diagonalized over an integral extension of $k[x_{ij}^{(\nu)}]$. Consider result of diagonalization in $k\langle X_1, \dots, X_s \rangle[[\hbar]]$ and then we compute the quantization commutator of two quantized generic matrices over $k\langle X_1, \dots, X_s \rangle[[\hbar]]$. Now we can complete the proof of Theorem 2.3.1.

Proof of Theorem 2.3.1. We have shown that A, B can be both diagonalized over some finite extension of $k[x_{ij}^{(\nu)}]$, then consider result of diagonalization with the quantization form in $k\langle X_1, \dots, X_s \rangle[[\hbar]]$, i.e., we can write them into specific forms modulo $\hbar^2$ as follows:

$$\hat{A} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & & 0 \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & & \lambda_n \end{pmatrix} + \hbar \begin{pmatrix} \delta_1 & & * \\ & \ddots & \\ * & & \delta_n \end{pmatrix} \pmod{\hbar^2}$$

$$\hat{B} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} \mu_1 & & 0 \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & & \mu_n \end{pmatrix} + \hbar \begin{pmatrix} \nu_1 & & * \\ & \ddots & \\ * & & \nu_n \end{pmatrix} \pmod{\hbar^2}.$$

Then we can compute the quantization commutator,

$$\begin{aligned} [\hat{A}, \hat{B}]_\star &:= \hat{A} \star \hat{B} - \hat{B} \star \hat{A} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} \{\lambda_1, \mu_1\} & & 0 \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & & \{\lambda_n, \mu_n\} \end{pmatrix} + \hbar \vec{\lambda} \star \begin{pmatrix} 0 & & * \\ & \ddots & \\ * & & 0 \end{pmatrix} - \hbar \begin{pmatrix} 0 & & * \\ & \ddots & \\ * & & 0 \end{pmatrix} \star \vec{\lambda} \\ &+ \hbar \begin{pmatrix} 0 & & * \\ & \ddots & \\ * & & 0 \end{pmatrix} \star \vec{\mu} - \hbar \vec{\mu} \star \begin{pmatrix} 0 & & * \\ & \ddots & \\ * & & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \hbar^2 \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & & * \\ & \ddots & \\ * & & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & & * \\ & \ddots & \\ * & & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\} \pmod{\hbar^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that all terms have empty diagonals except the first term, and hence the quantization commutator $[\hat{A}, \hat{B}]_\star \neq 0 \pmod{\hbar^2}$, which completes the proof of the theorem by multiplying $\frac{1}{\hbar}$ on two sides of above equation. $\square$

Remark 2.3.5. Suppose λ_i and δ_i , $i = 1, \dots, n$ are algebraically dependent. Then there are polynomials P_i in two variables such that $P_i(\lambda_i, \delta_i) = 0$. Put

$$P(x, y) = \prod_{i=1}^n P_i(x, y).$$

Then $P(A, B)$ is diagonal matrix having zeros on the main diagonal, i.e. $P(A, B) = 0$. It means that if $\text{rank } k\langle A, B \rangle = 2$ then λ_i, δ_i are algebraically independent for some i .

Let us conclude this section by pointing out the whole process of this proof. Recall that we have the free associative algebra $k\langle X \rangle$ over a field k , if we have a commutative subalgebra of rank two generated by $a, b \in k\langle X \rangle$, then we may have a commutative subalgebra of the algebra of generic matrices $k\langle X_1, \dots, X_s \rangle$ of rank two generated by A, B (they are images of a homomorphism $\pi : k\langle X \rangle \rightarrow k\langle X_1, \dots, X_s \rangle$). Consider the element $0 = [a, b]$ of the free associative algebra $k\langle X \rangle$, homomorphism π and canonical quantization homomorphism q sending multiplications to star products, we yield that

$$0 = q\pi([a, b]) = q[A, B] = [\hat{A}, \hat{B}]_\star.$$

This leads a contradiction to Theorem 2.3.1 which shows that $[\hat{A}, \hat{B}]_\star \neq 0$. So we obtain the following result.

Theorem 2.3.6. *There is no commutative subalgebras of rank ≥ 2 in the free associative algebra $k\langle X \rangle$.* $\square$

The centralizer ring is commutative from our discussion in section 2.1.2, and from the above theorem, it is of rank 1. So it is a commutative subalgebra with form $k[x]$ for some $x \in k\langle X \rangle \setminus k$. We will show it implies Bergman's centralizer theorem 2.1.4 in the next section.

2.4. CENTRALIZERS ARE INTEGRALLY CLOSED

We have shown that the centralizer C is a commutative domain of transcendence degree one. For us, it was the most interesting part of the proof of the Bergman's centralizer theorem. However, we have to prove the fact that C is integrally closed in order to complete the proof of Bergman's Centralizer Theorem. In our this work [121], our proofs are based on the characteristic free instead of very rich and advanced P. Cohn and G. Bergman's noncommutative divisibility theorem, we use generic matrices reduction, the invariant theory of characteristic zero by C. Procesi [170] and the invariant theory of positive characteristic by A. N. Zubkov [235, 236] and S. Donkin [77, 78].

2.4.1. Invariant theory of generic matrices. We will try to review some useful facts in the invariant theory of generic matrices.

Consider the algebra $\mathbb{A}_{n,s}$ of s -generated generic matrices of order n over the ground field k . Let $a_\ell = (a_{ij}^\ell), 1 \leq i, j \leq n, 1 \leq \ell \leq s$ be its generators. Let $R = k[a_{ij}^\ell]$ be the ring of entries coefficients. Consider an action of matrices $M_n(k)$ on matrices in R by conjugation, namely $\varphi_B : B \mapsto MBM^{-1}$. It is well-known (refer to [169, 170, 235]) that the invariant function on this matrix can be expressed as a polynomial over traces $\text{tr}(a_{i1}, \dots, a_{is})$. Any invariant on $\mathbb{A}_{n,s}$ is a polynomial of $\text{tr}(a_{i1}, \dots, a_{in})$. Note that the conjugation on B induces an automorphism φ_B of the ring R . Namely, $M(a_{ij}^\ell)M^{-1} = (a_{ij}^{\ell'})$, and $\varphi_B(M)$ of R induces automorphism on $M_n(R)$. And for any $x \in \mathbb{A}_{n,s}$, we have

$$\varphi_B(x) = MxM^{-1} = \text{Ad}_M(x).$$

Consider $\varphi_B(x) = \text{Ad}_M^{-1}\varphi_M(x)$. Then any element of the algebra of generic matrices is invariant under $\varphi_M(x)$.

When dealing with matrices in characteristic 0, it is useful to think that they form an algebra with a further unary operation the *trace*, $x \mapsto \text{tr}(x)$. One can formalize this as follows [60]:

Definition 2.4.1. *An algebra with trace is an algebra equipped with an additional trace structure, that is a linear map $\text{tr} : R \rightarrow R$ satisfying the following properties*

$$\text{tr}(ab) = \text{tr}(ba), a \text{tr}(b) = \text{tr}(b)a, \text{tr}(\text{tr}(a)b) = \text{tr}(a)\text{tr}(b) \quad \text{for all } a, b \in R.$$

There is a well-known fact as follows.

Theorem 2.4.2. *The algebra of generic matrices with trace is an algebra of concomitants, i.e. subalgebra of $M_n(R)$ is an invariant under the action $\varphi_M(x)$.*

This theorem was first proved by C. Procesi in [170] for the ground field k of characteristic zero. If k is a field of positive characteristic, we have to use not only traces, but also characteristic polynomials and their linearization (refer to [77, 78]). Relations between these invariants are discovered by C. Procesi [169, 170] for characteristic zero and A. N. Zubkov [235, 236] for characteristic p . C. de Concini and C. Procesi also generalized a characteristic free approach to invariant theory [71].

Let us denote by $k_T\{X\}$ the algebra of generic matrices with traces. After above discussions, we have the following proposition.

Proposition 2.4.3. *Let n be a prime number, then the centralizer of $A \in k_T\{X\}$ is rationally closed in $k_T\{X\}$ and integrally closed in $k_T\{X\}$.*

2.4.2. Centralizers are integrally closed. Let $k\langle X \rangle$ be the free associative algebra as noted. Here we will prove the following theorem.

Theorem 2.4.4. *The centralizer C of non-trivial element f in the free associative algebra is integrally closed.*

Let $g, P, Q \in C := C(f; F_z)$, and suppose $gQ^m = P^m$ for some positive integer m , i.e. in localization $g = \frac{P^m}{Q^m}$. Then there exists $h \in C$, such that $h^m = g$. This means that the centralizer C is integral closed.

Consider the homomorphism π from the free associative algebra F_s to the algebra of generic matrices with traces $k_T\{X\}$. Let us denote by $\bar{g}$ the image $\pi(g)$. Then we have following proposition.

Proposition 2.4.5. *Consider the homomorphism $\pi : F_s \rightarrow k_T\{X\}$. Let the order of matrices be a prime number $p \gg 0$. $\bar{g} = \pi(g), \bar{P} = \pi(P)$ and $\bar{Q} = \pi(Q)$. Then there exists $\bar{h} \in k_T\{X\}$ such that*

- (1) $\bar{h}^m = \bar{g}$;
- (2) $\bar{h} = \frac{\bar{P}}{\bar{Q}}$;
- (3) $\bar{h} \in \bar{C}$, where $\bar{C} = \pi(C)$.

Proof. (1) and (2) follows from Proposition 2.4.3 that the algebra of generic matrices with traces of form is integral closed. Prove (3). Note that all eigenvalues of $\bar{g}$ are pairwise different due to Proposition ???. So is $\bar{f}$. Hence $\bar{f}, \bar{g}$ are diagonalizable and $\bar{h}$ can be diagonalized in the same eigenvectors basis. Hence, by Proposition ??, $\bar{h}$ commutes with $\bar{f}$, i.e., $\bar{h} \in \overline{C}$. $\square$

Now we have to prove that $\bar{h}$ in fact belongs to the algebra of generic matrices without trace. We use the local isomorphism to get rid of traces.

Definition 2.4.6 (Local isomorphism). *Let $\mathbb{A}$ be an algebra with generators $a_1, \dots, a_s$ homogeneous respect this set of generators, and let $\mathbb{A}'$ be an algebra with generators $a'_1, \dots, a'_s$ homogeneous respect this set of generators. We say that $\mathbb{A}$ and $\mathbb{A}'$ are locally L -isomorphic if there exist a linear map $\varphi : a_i \rightarrow a'_i$ on the space of monomials of degree $\leq 2L$, and in this case for any two elements $b_1, b_2 \in A$ with highest term of degree $\leq L$, we have*

$$b_i = \sum_j M_{ij}(a_1, \dots, a_s), b'_i = \sum_j M_{ij}(a'_1, \dots, a'_s),$$

where M_{ij} are monomials, and for $b = b_1 \cdot b_2, b' = b'_1 \cdot b'_2$, we have $\varphi(b) = b'$.

We need following lemmas, and propositions:

Lemma 2.4.7 (Local isomorphism lemma). *For any L , if s is big enough prime, then the algebra of generic upper triangular matrices $\mathbb{U}_s$ is locally L -isomorphic to the free associative algebra. Also reduction on the algebra of generic matrices of degree n provides an isomorphism up to degree $\leq 2s$.*

Let us remind a well-known and useful fact.

Proposition 2.4.8. *The trace of every element in $\mathbb{U}_s$ of any characteristic is zero.*

In fact, we also proved

Proposition 2.4.9. *If $n > n(L)$, then the algebra of generic matrices (without traces) is L -locally integrally closed.*

Lemma 2.4.10. *Consider the projection $\bar{\pi}$ of the algebra of generic matrices with trace to $\mathbb{U}_s$, sending all traces to zero. Then we have*

$$\bar{\pi}(\bar{h})^m = \bar{\pi}(g).$$

Proof of Theorem 2.4.4. Let p be a big enough prime number. For example, we can set $p \geq 2(\deg(f) + \deg(g) + \deg(P) + \deg(Q))$. Because space of $k_T\{X\}$ of degree $\leq p$ is isomorphic to space of free associative algebra. We have element h corresponding to $\bar{h}$ up to this isomorphism. Due to local isomorphism, $h^m = g$, $h = P/Q$, i.e. $hQ = P$. Also we have h commutes with f , i.e. $h \in C$. $\square$

2.4.3. Completion of the proof. From last two subsections, we have the following proposition:

Proposition 2.4.11. *Let p be a big enough prime number, and $k\{X\}$ the algebra of generic matrices of order p . For any $A \in k\{X\}$, the centralizer of A is rationally closed and integrally closed in $k\{X\}$ over the center of $k\{X\}$.*

In our previous paper [120], we establish that the centralizer in the algebra of generic matrices is a commutative ring of transcendence degree one. According to Proposition 2.4.11, $C(A)$ is rationally closed and integrally closed in $k\{X\}$. If p is big enough, then $k\{X\}$ is L -locally integrally closed.

Now we need one fact from the Bergman's paper [45]. Let X be a totally ordered set, W be the free semigroup with identity 1 on set X . We have the following lemma.

Lemma 2.4.12 (Bergman). *Let $u, v \in W \setminus \{1\}$. If $u^\infty > v^\infty$, then we have*

$$u^\infty > (uv)^\infty > (vu)^\infty > v^\infty.$$

Proof (Bergman). It suffices to show that the whole inequality is implied by $(uv)^\infty > (vu)^\infty$. Suppose $(uv)^\infty > (vu)^\infty$, then we have following

$$(vu)^\infty = v(uv)^\infty > v(vu)^\infty = v^2(uv)^\infty > v^2(vu)^\infty = \dots v^\infty.$$

Similarly, we obtain $(uv)^\infty < u^\infty$. □

Similarly, we also have inequalities with “ $\geq$ ” replaced by “ $=$ ” or “ $\leq$ ”.

Remark 2.4.13. *Similar constructions are used in [113] for Burnside type problems or the height theorem of Shirshov.*

Now let R be the semigroup algebra on W over field k , i.e. $R = F_s$ is the free associative algebra. Consider $z \in \overline{W}$ be an infinite period word, and we denote $R_{(z)}$ be the k -subspace of R generated by words u such that $u = 1$ or $u^\infty \leq z$. Let $I_{(z)}$ be the k -subspace spanned by words u such that $u \neq 1$ and $u^\infty < z$. Using Lemma 2.4.12, we can get that $R_{(z)}$ is a subring of R and I_z is a two-sided ideal in $R_{(z)}$. It follows that $R_{(z)}/I_{(z)}$ will be isomorphic to a polynomial ring $k[v]$.

Proposition 2.4.14 (Bergman). *If $C \neq k$ is a finitely generated subalgebra of F_s , then there is a homomorphism f of C in to polynomial algebra over k in one variable, such that $f(C) \neq k$.*

Proof (Bergman). First let us totally order X . Let G be a finite set of generators for C and let z be maximum over all monomials $u \neq 1$ with nonzero coefficient in elements of G of u^∞ . Then we have $G \subseteq R_{(z)}$ and hence $C \subseteq R_{(z)}$, and the quotient map $f : R_{(z)} \rightarrow R_{(z)}/I_{(z)} \cong k[v]$ is nontrivial on C . □

Now we can complete the proof of Bergman’s centralizer theorem.

Proof. Consider homomorphism from the Proposition 2.4.14. Because C is centralizer of F_s , it has transcendence degree 1. Consider homomorphism ρ send C to the ring of polynomial. The homomorphism has kernel zero, otherwise $\rho(C)$ will have smaller transcendence degree. Note that C is integrally closed and finitely generated, hence it can be embedded into polynomial ring of one indeterminate. Since C is integrally closed, it is isomorphic to polynomial ring of one indeterminate.

Consider the set of system of C_ℓ , ℓ -generated subring of C such that $C = \cup_\ell C_\ell$. Let $\overline{C_\ell}$ be the integral closure of C_ℓ . Consider set of embedding of C_ℓ to ring of polynomial, then $\overline{C_\ell}$ are integral closure of those images, $\overline{C_\ell} = k[z_\ell]$, where z_ℓ belongs to the integral closure of C_ℓ . Consider sequence of z_ℓ . Because $k[z_\ell] \subseteq k[z_{\ell+1}]$, and degree of $z_{\ell+1}$ is strictly less than the degree of z_ℓ . Hence this sequence stabilizes for some element x . Then $k[z]$ is the needed centralizer. □

2.4.4. On the rationality of subfields of generic matrices. We will discuss some approaches to the following open problem.

Problem 2.4.15. *Consider the algebra of generic matrices $k\{X\}$ of order s . Consider $\text{Frac}(k\{X\})$, and K a subfield of $\text{Frac}(k\{X\})$ of transcendence degree one over the base field k . Is it true that K is isomorphic to a rational function over k , namely $K \cong k(t)$?*

Let $k\{X\}$ be the algebra of generic matrices of a big enough prime order $s := p$. Let Λ be the diagonal generic matrix $\Lambda = \text{diag}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_s)$ in $k\{X\}$, where transcendence degrees satisfy in $\text{Trdeg } k[\lambda_i] = 1$. Let N be another generic matrix, whose coefficients are algebraically independent from $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_s$. It means that if R is a ring of all coefficients of N , with $\text{Trdeg}(R) = s^2$, then

$$\text{Trdeg } R[\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_s] = s^2 + \text{Trdeg } k[\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_s].$$

Proposition 2.4.16. *We consider the conjugation of generic matrices $\overline{f}$ and $\overline{g}$.*

- (a) *Let $k[f_{ij}]$ be a commutative ring and $I = \langle f_{1i} \rangle \triangleleft k[f_{ij}]$ ($i > 1$) be an ideal of $k[f_{ij}]$. Then $k[f_{11}] \cap I = 0$.*
- (b) *Let $k[f_{ij}, g_{ij}]$ be a commutative ring and $J = \langle f_{1j}, g_{1j} \rangle \triangleleft k[f_{ij}, g_{ij}]$ ($i, j > 1$). For any algebraic function P satisfies $P(f_{11}, g_{11}) = 0$, which means f and g algebraically depends on e_1 , then $k[f_{11}, g_{11}] \cap J = 0$.*

Corollary 2.4.17. *Let $\mathbb{A}$ be an algebra of generic matrices generated by $a_1, \dots, a_s, a_{s+1}$. Let $f \in k[a_1, \dots, a_s]$, $\varphi = a_{s+1}f a_{s+1}^{-1}$. Let $I = \langle \varphi_{1i} \rangle \triangleleft k[a_1, \dots, a_{s+1}]$. Then $k[\varphi_{11}] \cap I = 0$.*

Proof. Note that $f = \tau \Lambda \tau^{-1}$ for some τ and a diagonal matrix Λ by proposition 2.4.16. Then $\varphi = (a_{n+1}\tau)\Lambda(a_{n+1}\tau)^{-1}$ and we can treat $(a_{n+1}\tau)$ as a generic matrix. $\square$

Theorem 2.4.18. *Let $C := C(f; F_n)$ be the centralizer ring of $f \in F_n \setminus k$. $\overline{C}$ is the reduction of generic matrices, and $\overline{\overline{C}}$ is the reduction on first eigenvalue action. Then $\overline{\overline{C}} \cong C$.*

Proof. Let us recall that we already have $\overline{C} \cong C$ in [120]. If we have $P(g_1, g_2) = 0$, then clearly $P(\lambda_1(g_1), \lambda_2(g_2)) = 0$ in the reduction on first eigenvalue action. Suppose $\overline{\overline{P(g_1, g_2)}} = 0$. Then $P(g_1, g_2)$ is an element of generic matrices with at least one zero eigenvalue. Because minimal polynomial is irreducible, that implies that $P(g_1, g_2) = 0$. It means any reduction satisfying λ_1 satisfies completely. That what we want to prove. $\square$

Consider $\overline{C}$, for any $\overline{g} = (g_{ij}) \in \overline{C}$. Investigate g_{11} . Suppose there is a polynomial P with coefficients in k , such that $P(f, g) = 0$. We can make a proposition about intersection of the ideals even sharper.

Let $J = \langle f_{1j}, g_{1j} \rangle$ ($j > 1$) be an ideal of the commutative subalgebra $k[f_{ij}, g_{ij}]$, then $k[f_{11}, g_{11}] \cap J = 0$.

From the discussion above and the theorem 2.4.18, we have the following proposition.

Proposition 2.4.19.

$$k[f_{11}, g_{11}] \mod J \cong k[f, g]$$

Proof. We have mod J matrices from the following form:

$$\overline{\overline{f}} = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ * & * & * & * \\ * & * & * & * \\ * & * & * & * \end{pmatrix}, \overline{\overline{g}} = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_2 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ * & * & * & * \\ * & * & * & * \\ * & * & * & * \end{pmatrix}$$

Then for any $H(\overline{\overline{f}}, \overline{\overline{g}}) \mod J$, we have

$$\overline{\overline{f}} = \begin{pmatrix} H(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ * & * & * & * \\ * & * & * & * \\ * & * & * & * \end{pmatrix}.$$

$\square$

Now we present an approach as follows. Consider $k(f, g)$. Let us extend the algebra of generic matrices by new matrix T , independent from all others. Consider conjugation of $k(f, g)$ by T , $Tk(f, g)T^{-1}$, and consider $\tilde{f} = TfT^{-1}$ and $\tilde{g} = TgT^{-1}$. By Corollary 2.4.17, we have

$$P(g_{11}, f_{11}) = 0 \mod J.$$

On the other hand, we have

$$k[f_{11}, g_{11}] \cap J = 0,$$

which means that

$$P(f_{11}, g_{11}) = 0 \mod J.$$

Put f_{11} and g_{11} be polynomial over commutative ring generated by all entries of $k[f, g]$ and T . Hence $\text{Frac}(k(f, g))$ can be embedded into fractional field of rings of polynomials. According to Lüroth theorem, $\text{Frac}(k(f, g))$ (hence $\text{Frac}(C)$) is isomorphic to fields of rational functions in one variable.

This will not guarantee rationality of our field, and there are counter examples in this situation. However, this approach seems to be useful for highest term analysis.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. *Abdesselam A.* The Jacobian conjecture as a problem of perturbative quantum field theory// *Ann. H. Poincaré.* — 2003. — 4, № 2. — P. 199–215.
2. *Abhyankar S., Moh T.* Embedding of the line in the plane// *J. Reine Angew. Math.* — 1975. — 276. — P. 148–166.
3. *Amitsur S. A.* Algebras over infinite fields// *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.* — 1956. — 7. — P. 35–48.
4. *Amitsur S. A.* A general theory of radicals, III. Applications// *Am. J. Math.* — 1954. — 75. — P. 126–136.
5. *Alev J., Le Bruyn L.* Automorphisms of generic 2 by 2 matrices// in: *Perspectives in Ring Theory.* — Springer, 1988. — P. 69–83.
6. *Amitsur A. S., Levitzki J.* Minimal identities for algebras// *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.* — 1950. — 1. — P. 449–463.
7. *Amitsur A. S., Levitzki J.* Remarks on minimal identities for algebras// *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.* — 1951. — 2. — P. 320–327.
8. *Anick D. J.* Limits of tame automorphisms of $k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ // *J. Algebra.* — 1983. — 82, № 2. — P. 459–468.
9. *Artamonov V. A.* Projective metabelian groups and Lie algebras// *Izv. Math.* — 1978. — 12, № 2. — C. 213–223.
10. *Artamonov V. A.* Projective modules over universal enveloping algebras// *Math. USSR Izv.* — 1985. — 25, № 3. — C. 429.
11. *Artamonov V. A.* Nilpotence, projectivity, decomposability// *Sib. Math. J.* — 1991. — 32, № 6. — C. 901–909.
12. *Artamonov V. A.* The quantum Serre problem// *Russ. Math. Surv.* — 1998. — 53, № 4. — C. 3–77.
13. *Artamonov V. A.* Automorphisms and derivations of quantum polynomials// in: *Recent Advances in Lie Theory (Bajo I., Sanmartin E., eds.).* — Heldermann Verlag, 2002. — P. 109–120.
14. *Artamonov V. A.* Generalized derivations of quantum plane// *J. Math. Sci.* — 2005. — 131, № 5. — C. 5904–5918.
15. *Artamonov V. A.* *Quantum polynomials* in: *Advances in Algebra and Combinatorics.* — Singapore: World Scientific, 2008. — P. 19–34.
16. *Artin M.* Noncommutative Rings. — Preprint, 1999.
17. *Arzhantsev I., Kuyumzhyan K., Zaidenberg M.* Infinite transitivity, finite generation, and Demazure roots// *Adv. Math.* — 2019. — 351. — P. 1–32.
18. *Asanuma T.* Non-linearizable algebraic k^* -actions on affine spaces. — Preprint, 1996.
19. *Backelin E.* Endomorphisms of quantized Weyl algebras// *Lett. Math. Phys.* — 2011. — 97, № 3. — P. 317–338.
20. *Bass H.* A non-triangular action of G_a on A^3 // *J. Pure Appl. Algebra.* — 1984. — 33, № 1. — P. 1–5.
21. *Bass H., Connell E. H., Wright D.* The Jacobian conjecture: reduction of degree and formal expansion of the inverse// *Bull. Am. Math. Soc.* — 1982. — 7, № 2. — P. 287–330.
22. *Bavula V. V.* A question of Rentschler and the Dixmier problem// *Ann. Math. (2).* — 2001. — 154, № 3. — P. 683–702.
23. *Bavula V. V.* Generalized Weyl algebras and skew polynomial rings/ [arXiv:1612.08941 \[math.RA\]](#).
24. *Bavula V. V.* The group of automorphisms of the Lie algebra of derivations of a polynomial algebra// *J. Alg. Appl.* — 2017. — 16, № 5. — 1750088.
25. *Bavula V. V.* The groups of automorphisms of the Lie algebras of formally analytic vector fields with constant divergence// *C. R. Math.* — 2014. — 352, № 2. — P. 85–88.
26. *Bavula V. V.* The inversion formulae for automorphisms of Weyl algebras and polynomial algebras// *J. Pure Appl. Algebra.* — 2007. — 210. — P. 147–159.
27. *Bavula V. V.* The inversion formulae for automorphisms of polynomial algebras and rings of differential operators in prime characteristic// *J. Pure Appl. Algebra.* — 2008. — 212, № 10. — P. 2320–2337.
28. *Bavula V. V.* An analogue of the conjecture of Dixmier is true for the algebra of polynomial integro-differential operators// *J. Algebra.* — 2012. — 372. — P. 237–250.

29. *Bavula V. V.* Every monomorphism of the Lie algebra of unitriangular polynomial derivations is an automorphism// C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris. Ser. 1. — 2012. — 350, № 11–12. — P. 553–556.
30. *Bavula V. V.* The Jacobian conjecture_{2n} implies the Dixmier problem_n/ [arXiv: math/0512250 \[math.RA\]](#).
31. *Beauville A., Colliot-Thelene J.-L., Sansuc J.-J., and Swinnerton-Dyer P.* Varieties stably rational non rationally// Ann. Math. — 1985. — 121. — P. 283–318.
32. *Bayen F., Flato M., Fronsdal C., Lichnerowicz A., Sternheimer D.* Deformation theory and quantization. I. Deformations of symplectic structures// Ann. Phys. — 1978. — 111, № 1. — P. 61–110.
33. *Belov A.* Linear recurrence equations on a tree// Math. Notes. — 2005. — 78, № 5. — C. 603–609.
34. *Belov A.* Local finite basis property and local representability of varieties of associative rings// Izv. Math. — 2010. — 74. — C. 1–126.
35. *Belov A., Bokut L., Rowen L., Yu J.-T.* The Jacobian conjecture, together with Specht and Burnside-type problems// in: Automorphisms in Birational and Affine Geometry. — Springer, 2014. — P. 249–285.
36. *Belov A., Makar-Limanov L., Yu J. T.* On the generalised cancellation conjecture// J. Algebra. — 2004. — 281. — P. 161–166.
37. *Belov A., Rowen L. H., Vishne U.* Structure of Zariski-closed algebras// Trans. Am. Math. Soc. — 2012. — 362. — P. 4695–4734.
38. *Belov-Kanel A., Yu J.-T.* On the lifting of the Nagata automorphism// Selecta Math. — 2011. — 17. — P. 935–945.
39. *Kanel-Belov A., Berzins A., Lipyanski R.* Automorphisms of the semigroup of endomorphisms of free associative algebras// Int. J. Algebra Comp. — 2007. — 17, № 5/6. — P. 923–939.
40. *Belov-Kanel A., Elishev A.* On planar algebraic curves and holonomic D -modules in positive characteristic// J. Algebra Appl. — 2016. — 15, № 8. — 1650155.
41. *Belov-Kanel A., Kontsevich M.* Automorphisms of the Weyl algebra// Lett. Math. Phys. — 2005. — 74, № 2. — P. 181–199.
42. *Belov-Kanel A., Kontsevich M.* The Jacobian conjecture is stably equivalent to the Dixmier conjecture// Moscow Math. J. — 2007. — 7, № 2. — C. 209–218.
43. *Belov-Kanel A., Lipyanski R.* Automorphisms of the endomorphism semigroup of a polynomial algebra// J. Algebra. — 2011. — 333, № 1. — P. 40–54.
44. *Belov-Kanel A., Yu J.-T.* Stable tameness of automorphisms of $F\langle x, y, z \rangle$ fixing z // Selecta Math. — 2012. — 18. — P. 799–802.
45. *Bergman G. M.* Centralizers in free associative algebras// Trans. Am. Math. Soc. — 1969. — 137. — P. 327–344.
46. *Bergman G. M.* The diamond lemma for ring theory// Adv. Math. — 1978. — 29, № 2. — P. 178–218.
47. *Berson J., van den Essen A., Wright D.* Stable tameness of two-dimensional polynomial automorphisms over a regular ring// Adv. Math. — 2012. — 230. — P. 2176–2197.
48. *Birman J.* An inverse function theorem for free groups// Proc. Am. Math. Soc. — 1973. — 41. — P. 634–638.
49. *Bonnet P., Vénéreau S.* Relations between the leading terms of a polynomial automorphism// J. Algebra. — 2009. — 322, № 2. — P. 579–599.
50. *Berzins A.* The group of automorphisms of semigroup of endomorphisms of free commutative and free associative algebras/ [arXiv: abs/math/0504015 \[math.AG\]](#).
51. *Białynicki-Birula A.* Remarks on the action of an algebraic torus on k^n , I// Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. Sér. Sci. Math. Astronom. Phys. — 1966. — 14. — P. 177–181.
52. *Białynicki-Birula A.* Remarks on the action of an algebraic torus on k^n , II// Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. Sér. Sci. Math. Astronom. Phys. — 1967. — 15. — P. 123–125.
53. *Białynicki-Birula A.* Some theorems on actions of algebraic groups// Ann. Math. — 1973. — 98, № 3. — P. 480–497.
54. *Bitoun T.* The p -support of a holonomic D -module is lagrangian, for p large enough/ [arXiv: 1012.4081 \[math.AG\]](#).
55. *Bodnarchuk Yu.* Every regular automorphism of the affine Cremona group is inner// J. Pure Appl. Algebra. — 2001. — 157. — P. 115–119.

56. *Bokut L., Zelmanov E.* Selected works of A. I. Shirshov. — Springer, 2009.
57. *Bokut L. A.* Embedding Lie algebras into algebraically closed Lie algebras// *Algebra Logika.* — 1962. — 1. — C. 47–53.
58. *Bokut L. A.* Embedding of algebras into algebraically closed algebras// *Dokl. Akad. Nauk.* — 1962. — 145, № 5. — C. 963–964.
59. *Bokut L. A.* Theorems of embedding in the theory of algebras// *Colloq. Math.* — 1966. — 14. — P. 349–353.
60. *Brešar M., Procesi C., Špenko Š.* Functional identities on matrices and the Cayley–Hamilton polynomial/ [arXiv:1212.4597 \[math.RA\]](#).
61. *Campbell L. A.* A condition for a polynomial map to be invertible// *Math. Ann.* — 1973. — 205, № 3. — P. 243–248.
62. *Cohn P. M.* Subalgebras of free associative algebras// *Proc. London Math. Soc.* — 1964. — 3, № 4. — P. 618–632.
63. *Cohn P. M.* Progress in free associative algebras// *Isr. J. Math.* — 1974. — 19, № 1-2. — P. 109–151.
64. *Cohn P. M.* A brief history of infinite-dimensional skew fields// *Math. Sci.* — 1992. — 17. — P. 1–14.
65. *Cohn P. M.* Free Rings and Their Relations. — Academic Press, 1985.
66. *Czerniakiewicz A. J.* Automorphisms of a free associative algebra of rank 2. I// *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.* — 1971. — 160. — P. 393–401.
67. *Czerniakiewicz A. J.* Automorphisms of a free associative algebra of rank 2. II// *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.* — 1972. — 171. — P. 309–315.
68. *Danielewski W.* On the cancellation problem and automorphism groups of affine algebraic varieties. — Warsaw: Preprint, 1989.
69. *De Bondt M., van den Essen A.* The Jacobian conjecture for symmetric Druzkowski mappings. — University of Nijmegen, 2004.
70. *De Bondt M., van den Essen A.* A reduction of the Jacobian conjecture to the symmetric case// *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.* — 2005. — 133, № 8. — P. 2201–2205.
71. *De Concini C., Procesi C.* A characteristic free approach to invariant theory// in: *Young Tableaux in Combinatorics, Invariant Theory, and Algebra.* — Elsevier, 1982. — P. 169–193.
72. *Déserti J.* Sur le groupe des automorphismes polynomiaux du plan affine// *J. Algebra.* — 2006. — 297. — P. 584–599.
73. *Dicks W.* Automorphisms of the free algebra of rank two// *Contemp. Math.* — 1985. — 43. — P. 63–68.
74. *Dicks W., Lewin J.* Jacobian conjecture for free associative algebras// *Commun. Algebra.* — 1982. — 10, № 12. — P. 1285–1306.
75. *Dixmier J.* Sur les algebres de Weyl// *Bull. Soc. Math. France.* — 1968. — 96. — P. 209–242.
76. *Dodd C.* The p -cycle of holonomic D -modules and auto-equivalences of the Weyl algebra/ [arXiv:1510.05734 \[math.OA\]](#).
77. *Donkin S.* Invariants of several matrices// *Inv. Math.* — 1992. — 110, № 1. — P. 389–401.
78. *Donkin S.* Invariant functions on matrices// *Math. Proc. Cambridge Phil. Soc.* — 1993. — 113, № 1. — P. 23–43.
79. *Drensky V., Yu J.-T.* A cancellation conjecture for free associative algebras// *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.* — 2008. — 136, № 10. — P. 3391–3394.
80. *Drensky V., Yu J.-T.* The strong Anick conjecture// *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* — 2006. — 103. — P. 4836–4840.
81. *Drensky V., Yu J.-T.* Coordinates and automorphisms of polynomial and free associative algebras of rank three// *Front. Math. China.* — 2007. — 2, № 1. — P. 13–46.
82. *Drensky V., Yu J.-T.* The strong Anick conjecture is true// *J. Eur. Math. Soc.* — 2007. — 9. — P. 659–679.
83. *Druzkowski L.* An effective approach to Keller’s Jacobian conjecture// *Math. Ann.* — 1983. — 264, № 3. — P. 303–313.
84. *Druzkowski L.* The Jacobian conjecture: symmetric reduction and solution in the symmetric cubic linear case// *Ann. Polon. Math.* — 2005. — 87, № 1. — P. 83–92.

85. *Drużkowski L. M.* New reduction in the Jacobian conjecture// in: Effective Methods in Algebraic and Analytic Geometry. — Kraków: Univ. Jagel. Acta Math., 2001. — P. 203–206.
86. *Elishev A.* Automorphisms of polynomial algebras, quantization and Kontsevich conjecture/ PhD Thesis — Moscow Institute of Physics and Technology, 2019.
87. *Elishev A., Kanel-Belov A., Razavinia F., Yu J.-T., Zhang W.* Noncommutative Białynicki-Birula theorem./ [arXiv:1808.04903](#) [math.AG].
88. *Elishev A., Kanel-Belov A., Razavinia F., Yu J.-T., Zhang W.* Torus actions on free associative algebras, lifting and Białynicki-Birula type theorems/ [arXiv:1901.01385](#) [math.AG].
89. *van den Bergh M.* On involutivity of p -support// Int. Math. Res. Not. — 2015. — 15. — P. 6295–6304.
90. *van den Essen A.* The amazing image conjecture/ [arXiv:1006.5801](#) [math.AG].
91. *van den Essen A., de Bondt M.* Recent progress on the Jacobian conjecture// Ann. Polon. Math. — 2005. — 87. — P. 1–11.
92. *van den Essen A., de Bondt M.* The Jacobian conjecture for symmetric Drużkowski mappings// Ann. Polon. Math. — 2005. — 86, № 1. — P. 43–46.
93. *van den Essen A., Wright D., Zhao W.* On the image conjecture// J. Algebra. — 2011. — 340. — P. 211–224.
94. *Fox R. H.* Free differential calculus, I. Derivation in the free group ring// Ann. Math. (2). — 1953. — 57. — P. 547–560.
95. *Gizatullin M. Kh., Danilov V. I.* Automorphisms of affine surfaces, I// Izv. Math. — 1975. — 9, № 3. — C. 493–534.
96. *Gizatullin M. Kh., Danilov V. I.* Automorphisms of affine surfaces, II// Izv. Math. — 1977. — 11, № 1. — C. 51–98.
97. *Gorni G., Zampieri G.* Yagzhev polynomial mappings: on the structure of the Taylor expansion of their local inverse// Polon. Math. — 1996. — 64. — P. 285–290.
98. *Fedosov B.* A simple geometrical construction of deformation quantization// J. Differ. Geom. — 1994. — 40, № 2. — P. 213–238.
99. *Frayne T., Morel A. C., Scott D. S.* Reduced direct products// J. Symb. Logic.. — 31, № 3. — P. 1966.
100. *Fulton W., Harris J.* Representation Theory. A First Course. — Springer-Verlag, 1991.
101. *Furter J.-P., Kraft H.* On the geometry of the automorphism groups of affine varieties/ [arXiv:1809.04175](#) [math.AG].
102. *Gutwirth A.* The action of an algebraic torus on the affine plane// Trans. Am. Math. Soc. — 1962. — 105, № 3. — P. 407–414.
103. *Jung H. W. E.* Über ganze birationale Transformationen der Ebene// J. Reine Angew. Math. — 1942. — 184. — P. 161–174.
104. *Kaliman S., Koras M., Makar-Limanov L., Russell P.* C^* -actions on C^3 are linearizable// Electron. Res. Announc. Am. Math. Soc. — 1997. — 3. — P. 63–71.
105. *Kaliman S., Zaidenberg M.* Families of affine planes: the existence of a cylinder// Michigan Math. J. — 2001. — 49. — P. 353–367.
106. *Kuroda S.* Shestakov–Umirbaev reductions and Nagata’s conjecture on a polynomial automorphism// Tôhoku Math. J. — 2010. — 62. — P. 75–115.
107. *Kuzmin E., Shestakov I. P.* Nonassociative structures// Itogi Nauki Tekhn. Sovr. Probl. Mat. Fundam. Napr. — 1990. — 57. — C. 179–266.
108. *Karas’ M.* Multidegrees of tame automorphisms of C^n // Dissert. Math. — 2011. — 477.
109. *Khoroshkin A., Piontkovski D.* On generating series of finitely presented operads/ [arXiv:1202.5170](#) [math.QA].
110. *Kambayashi T.* Pro-affine algebras, Ind-affine groups and the Jacobian problem// J. Algebra. — 1996. — 185, № 2. — P. 481–501.
111. *Kambayashi T.* Some basic results on pro-affine algebras and Ind-affine schemes// Osaka J. Math. — 2003. — 40, № 3. — P. 621–638.
112. *Kambayashi T., Russell P.* On linearizing algebraic torus actions// J. Pure Appl. Algebra. — 1982. — 23, № 3. — P. 243–250.

113. Kanel-Belov A., Borisenko V., Latysev V. Monomial algebras// J. Math. Sci. — 1997. — 87, № 3. — C. 3463–3575.
114. Kanel-Belov A., Elishev A. On planar algebraic curves and holonomic $\mathcal{D}$ -modules in positive characteristic/ [arXiv:1412.6836 \[math.AG\]](#).
115. Kanel-Belov A., Elishev A., Yu J.-T. Independence of the B-KK isomorphism of infinite prime/ [arXiv:1512.06533 \[math.AG\]](#).
116. Kanel-Belov A., Elishev A., Yu J.-T. Augmented polynomial symplectomorphisms and quantization// [arXiv:1812.02859 \[math.AG\]](#).
117. Kanel-Belov A., Grigoriev S., Elishev A., Yu J.-T., Zhang W. Lifting of polynomial symplectomorphisms and deformation quantization// Commun. Algebra. — 2018. — 46, № 9. — P. 3926–3938.
118. Kanel-Belov A., Malev S., Rowen L. The images of noncommutative polynomials evaluated on 2×2 matrices// Proc. Am. Math. Soc. — 2012. — 140. — P. 465–478.
119. Kanel-Belov A., Malev S., Rowen L. The images of multilinear polynomials evaluated on 3×3 matrices// Proc. Am. Math. Soc. — 2016. — 144. — P. 7–19.
120. Kanel-Belov A., Razavinia F., Zhang W. Bergman’s centralizer theorem and quantization// Commun. Algebra. — 2018. — 46, № 5. — P. 2123–2129.
121. Kanel-Belov A., Razavinia F., Zhang W. Centralizers in free associative algebras and generic matrices/ [arXiv:1812.03307 \[math.RA\]](#).
122. Kanel-Belov A., Rowen L. H., Vishne U. Full exposition of Specht’s problem// Serdica Math. J. — 2012. — 38. — P. 313–370.
123. Kanel-Belov A., Yu J.-T., Elishev A. On the augmentation topology of automorphism groups of affine spaces and algebras// Int. J. Algebra Comput. * — 2018. — 28, № 08. — P. 1449–1485.
124. Keller B. Notes for an Introduction to Kontsevich’s Quantization Theorem, 2003.
125. Keller O. H. Ganze Cremona Transformationen// Monatsh. Math. Phys. — 1939. — 47, № 1. — P. 299–306.
126. Kolesnikov P. S. The Makar-Limanov algebraically closed skew field// Algebra Logic. — 2000. — 39, № 6. — C. 378–395.
127. Kolesnikov P. S. Different definitions of algebraically closed skew fields// Algebra Logic. — 2001. — 40, № 4. — C. 219–230.
128. Kontsevich M. Deformation quantization of Poisson manifolds// Lett. Math. Phys. — 2003. — 66, № 3. — P. 157–216.
129. Kontsevich M. Holonomic D -modules and positive characteristic// Jpn. J. Math. — 2009. — 4, № 1. — P. 1–25.
130. Koras M., Russell P. C^* -actions on C^3 : The smooth locus of the quotient is not of hyperbolic type// J. Alg. Geom. — 1999. — 8, № 4. — P. 603–694.
131. Kovalenko S., Perepechko A., Zaidenberg M. On automorphism groups of affine surfaces// in: Algebraic Varieties and Automorphism Groups. — Math. Soc. Jpn., 2017. — P. 207–286.
132. Kraft H., Regeta A. Automorphisms of the Lie algebra of vector fields// J. Eur. Math. Soc. — 2017. — 19, № 5. — P. 1577–1588.
133. Kraft H., Stampfli I. On automorphisms of the affine Cremona group// Ann. Inst. Fourier. — 2013. — 63, № 3. — P. 1137–1148.
134. Kulikov V. S. Generalized and local Jacobian problems// Izv. Math. — 1993. — 41, № 2. — C. 351–365.
135. Kulikov V. S. The Jacobian conjecture and nilpotent maps// J. Math. Sci. — 2001. — 106, № 5. — C. 3312–3319.
136. Levy R., Loustounau P., Shapiro J. The prime spectrum of an infinite product of copies of $\mathbb{Z}$ // Fundam. Math. — 1991. — 138. — P. 155–164.
137. Li Y.-C., Yu J.-T. Degree estimate for subalgebras// J. Algebra. — 2012. — 362. — P. 92–98.
138. Gaiotto D., Witten E. Probing quantization via branes/ [arXiv:2107.12251 \[hep-th\]](#).
139. Lothaire M. Combinatorics on Words. — Cambridge Univ. Press, 1997.
140. Makar-Limanov L. A new proof of the Abhyankar–Moh–Suzuki theorem/ [arXiv:1212.0163 \[math.AC\]](#).

141. *Makar-Limanov L.* Automorphisms of a free algebra with two generators// *Funct. Anal. Appl.* — 1970. — 4, № 3. — C. 262–264.
142. *Makar-Limanov L.* On automorphisms of Weyl algebra// *Bull. Soc. Math. France.* — 1984. — 112. — P. 359–363.
143. *Makar-Limanov L., Yu J.-T.* Degree estimate for subalgebras generated by two elements// *J. Eur. Math. Soc.* — 2008. — 10. — P. 533–541.
144. *Makar-Limanov L.* Algebraically closed skew fields// *J. Algebra.* — 1985. — 93, № 1. — P. 117–135.
145. *Makar-Limanov L., Turusbekova U., Umirbaev U.* Automorphisms and derivations of free Poisson algebras in two variables// *J. Algebra.* — 2009. — 322, № 9. — P. 3318–3330.
146. *Markl M., Shnider S., Stasheff J.* Operads in Algebra, Topology, and Physics. — Providence, Rhode Island: Am. Math. Soc., 2002.
147. *Miyanishi M., Sugie T.* Affine surfaces containing cylinderlike open sets// *J. Math. Kyoto Univ.* — 1980. — 20. — P. 11–42.
148. *Nagata M.* On the automorphism group of $k[x, y]$. — Tokyo: Kinokuniya, 1972.
149. *Nielsen J.* Die Isomorphismen der allgemeinen, unendlichen Gruppen mit zwei Erzeugenden// *Math. Ann.* — 1918. — 78. — P. 385–397.
150. *Nielsen J.* Die Isomorphismengruppe der freien Gruppen// *Math. Ann.* — 1924. — 91. — P. 169–209.
151. *Ol'shanskij A. Yu.* Groups of bounded period with subgroups of prime order// *Algebra and Logic.* — 1983. — 21. — C. 369–418.
152. *Peretz R.* Constructing polynomial mappings using non-commutative algebras// in: *Affine Algebraic Geometry.* — Providence, Rhode Island: Am. Math. Soc., 2005. — P. 197–232.
153. *Piontkovski D.* Operads versus Varieties: a dictionary of universal algebra. — Preprint, 2011.
154. *Piontkovski D.* On Kurosh problem in varieties of algebras// *J. Math. Sci.* — 2009. — 163, № 6. — C. 743–750.
155. *Razmyslov Yu. P.* Algebras satisfying identity relations of Capelli type// *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR. Ser. Mat.* — 1981. — 45. — C. 143–166, 240.
156. *Razmyslov Yu. P.* Identities of Algebras and Their Representations. — Providence, Rhode Island: Am. Math. Soc., 1994.
157. *Razmyslov Yu. P., Zubrilin K. A.* Nilpotency of obstacles for the representability of algebras that satisfy Capelli identities, and representations of finite type// *Russ. Math. Surveys* — 1993. — 48. — C. 183–184.
158. *Reutenauer C.* Applications of a noncommutative Jacobian matrix// *J. Pure Appl. Algebra.* — 1992. — 77. — P. 634–638.
159. *Rowen L. H.* Graduate Algebra: Noncommutative View. — Providence, Rhode Island: Am. Math. Soc., 2008.
160. *Moh T.-T.* On the global Jacobian conjecture for polynomials of degree less than 100. — Preprint, 1983.
161. *Moh T.-T.* On the Jacobian conjecture and the configurations of roots// *J. Reine Angew. Math.* — 1983. — 340. — P. 140–212.
162. *Moyal J. E.* Quantum mechanics as a statistical theory// *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* — 1949. — 45, № 1. — P. 99–124.
163. *Orevkov S. Yu.* The commutant of the fundamental group of the complement of a plane algebraic curve// *Russ. Math. Surv.* — 1990. — 45, № 1. — C. 221–222.
164. *Orevkov S. Yu.* An example in connection with the Jacobian conjecture// *Math. Notes.* — 1990. — 47, № 1. — C. 82–88.
165. *Orevkov S. Yu.* The fundamental group of the complement of a plane algebraic curve// *Sb. Math.* — 1990. — 65, № 1. — C. 267–267.
166. *Plotkin B.* Varieties of algebras and algebraic varieties// *Israel J. Math.* — 1996. — 96, № 2. — P. 511–522.
167. *Plotkin B.* Algebras with the same (algebraic) geometry/ [arXiv:math/0210194](https://arxiv.org/abs/math/0210194) [math.GM].
168. *Popov V. L.* Around the Abhyankar-Sathaye conjecture/ [arXiv:1409.6330](https://arxiv.org/abs/1409.6330) [math.AG].
169. *Procesi C.* Rings with Polynomial Identities. — Marcel Dekker, 1973.
170. *Procesi C.* The invariant theory of $n \times n$ matrices// *Adv. Math.* — 1976. — 19, № 3. — P. 306–381.
171. *Razar M.* Polynomial maps with constant Jacobian// *Israel J. Math.* — 1979. — 32, № 2-3. — P. 97–106.

172. *Robinson A.* Non-Standard Analysis. — Princeton Univ. Press, 2016.
173. *Rosset S.* A new proof of the Amitsur–Levitzki identity// Israel J. Math. — 1976. — 23, № 2. — P. 187–188.
174. *Rowen L. H.* Graduate Algebra: Noncommutative View. — Providence, Rhode Island: Am. Math. Soc., 2008.
175. *Schofield A. H.* Representations of Rings over Skew Fields. — Cambridge: Cambridge Univ. Press, 1985.
176. *Schwarz G.* Exotic algebraic group actions// C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris — 1989. — 309. — P. 89–94.
177. *Shafarevich I. R.* On some infinite-dimensional groups, II// Izv. Ross. Akad. Nauk. Ser. Mat. — 1981. — 45, № 1. — C. 214–226.
178. *Sharifi Y.* Centralizers in Associative Algebras/ Ph.D. thesis, 2013.
179. *Shestakov I. P.* Finite-dimensional algebras with a nil basis// Algebra Logika. — 1971. — 10. — C. 87–99.
180. *Shestakov I. P., Umirbaev U. U.* Degree estimate and two-generated subalgebras of rings of polynomials// J. Am. Math. Soc. — 2004. — 17. — P. 181–196.
181. *Shestakov I., Umirbaev U.* The Nagata automorphism is wild// Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. — 2003. — 100, № 22. — P. 12561–12563.
182. *Shestakov I., Umirbaev U.* Poisson brackets and two-generated subalgebras of rings of polynomials// J. Am. Math. Soc. — 2004. — 17, № 1. — P. 181–196.
183. *Shestakov I. P., Umirbaev U. U.* The tame and the wild automorphisms of polynomial rings in three variables// J. Am. Math. Soc. — 2004. — 17. — P. 197–220.
184. *Umirbaev U., Shestakov I.* Subalgebras and automorphisms of polynomial rings// Dokl. Ross. Akad. Nauk — 2002. — 386, № 6. — C. 745–748.
185. *Shpilrain V.* On generators of L/R^2 Lie algebras// Proc. Am. Math. Soc. — 1993. — 119. — P. 1039–1043.
186. *Singer D.* On Catalan trees and the Jacobian conjecture// Electron. J. Combin. — 2001. — 8, № 1. — 2.
187. *Shpilrain V., Yu J.-T.* Affine varieties with equivalent cylinders// J. Algebra. — 2002. — 251, № 1. — P. 295–307.
188. *Shpilrain V., Yu J.-T.* Factor algebras of free algebras: on a problem of G. Bergman// Bull. London Math. Soc. — 2003. — 35. — P. 706–710.
189. *Suzuki M.* Propriétés topologiques des polynômes de deux variables complexes, et automorphismes algébrique de l'espace C^2 // J. Math. Soc. Jpn. — 1974. — 26. — P. 241–257.
190. *Tsuchimoto Y.* Preliminaries on Dixmier conjecture Mem. Fac. Sci. Kochi Univ. Ser. A. Math. — 2003. — 24. — P. 43–59.
191. *Tsuchimoto Y.* Endomorphisms of Weyl algebra and p -curvatures// Osaka J. Math. — 2005. — 42, № 2. — P. 435–452.
192. *Tsuchimoto Y.* Auslander regularity of norm based extensions of Weyl algebra// arXiv: 1402.7153 [math.AG].
193. *Umirbaev U.* On the extension of automorphisms of polynomial rings// Sib. Math. J. — 1995. — 36, № 4. — C. 787–791.
194. *Umirbaev U. U.* On Jacobian matrices of Lie algebras// В КН.: Proc. 6 All-Union Conf. on Varieties of Algebraic Systems. — Magnitogorsk, 1990. — C. 32–33.
195. *Umirbaev U. U.* Shreer varieties of algebras// Algebra Logic. — 1994. — 33. — C. 180–193.
196. *Umirbaev U. U.* Tame and wild automorphisms of polynomial algebras and free associative algebras. — Preprint MPIM 2004–108..
197. *Umirbaev U.* The Anick automorphism of free associative algebras// J. Reine Angew. Math. — 2007. — 605. — P. 165–178.
198. *Umirbaev U. U.* Defining relations of the tame automorphism group of polynomial algebras in three variables// J. Reine Angew. Math. — 2006. — 600. — P. 203–235.
199. *Umirbaev U. U.* Defining relations for automorphism groups of free algebras// J. Algebra. — 2007. — 314. — P. 209–225.
200. *Umirbaev U. U., Yu J.-T.* The strong Nagata conjecture// Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. — 2004. — 101. — P. 4352–4355.
201. *Urech C., Zimmermann S.* Continuous automorphisms of Cremona groups/ arXiv: 1909.11050 [math.AG].

202. *van den Essen A.* Polynomial Automorphisms and the Jacobian Conjecture. — Birkhäuser, 2012.
203. *van der Kulk W.* On polynomial rings in two variables// *Nieuw Arch. Wisk.* (3) — 1953. — 1. — P. 33–41.
204. *Vitushkin A. G.* A criterion for the representability of a chain of σ -processes by a composition of triangular chains// *Math. Notes* — 1999. — 65, № 5-6. — C. 539–547.
205. *Vitushkin A. G.* On the homology of a ramified covering over C^2 // *Math. Notes*. — 1998. — 64, № 5. — C. 726–731.
206. *Vitushkin A. G.* Evaluation of the Jacobian of a rational transformation of C^2 and some applications// *Math. Notes* — 1999. — 66, № 2. — C. 245–249.
207. *Wedderburn J. H. M.* Note on algebras// *Ann. Math.* — 1937. — 38. — P. 854–856.
208. *Wright D.* The Jacobian conjecture as a problem in combinatorics/ [arXiv:math/0511214](#) [math.CO].
209. *Wright D.* The Jacobian conjecture: Ideal membership questions and recent advances// *Contemp. Math.* — 2005. — 369. — P. 261–276.
210. *Yagzhev A. V.* Finiteness of the set of conservative polynomials of a given degree// *Math. Notes*. — 1987. — 41, № 2. — C. 86–88.
211. *Yagzhev A. V.* Nilpotency of extensions of an abelian group by an abelian group// *Math. Notes*. — 1988. — 43, № 3-4. — C. 244–245.
212. *Yagzhev A. V.* Locally nilpotent subgroups of the holomorph of an abelian group// *Mat. Zametki* — 1989. — 46, № 6. — C. 118.
213. *Yagzhev A. V.* A sufficient condition for the algebraicity of an automorphism of a group// *Algebra Logic*. — 1989. — 28, № 1. — C. 83–85.
214. *Yagzhev A. V.* The generators of the group of tame automorphisms of an algebra of polynomials// *Sib. Mat. Zh.* — 1977. — 18, № 1. — P. 222–225.
215. *Wang S.* A Jacobian criterion for separability// *J. Algebra*. — 1980. — 65, № 2. — P. 453–494.
216. *Wright D.* On the Jacobian conjecture// *Ill. J. Math.* — 1981. — 25, № 3. — P. 423–440.
217. *Yagzhev A. V.* Invertibility of endomorphisms of free associative algebras// *Math. Notes*. — 1991. — 49, № 3-4. — C. 426–430.
218. *Yagzhev A. V.* Endomorphisms of free algebras// *Sib. Math. J.* — 1980. — 21, № 1. — C. 133–141.
219. *Yagzhev A. V.* On the algorithmic problem of recognizing automorphisms among endomorphisms of free associative algebras of finite rank// *Sib. Math. J.* — 1980. — 21, № 1. — C. 142–146.
220. *Yagzhev A. V.* Keller’s problem// *Sib. Math. J.* — 1980. — 21, № 5. — C. 747–754.
221. *A. V. Yagzhev* Engel algebras satisfying Capelli identities// *в кн.: Proceedings of Shafarevich Seminar*. — Moscow: Steklov Math. Inst., 2000. — C. 83–88 (in Russian).
222. *A. V. Yagzhev* Endomorphisms of polynomial rings and free algebras of different varieties// *в кн.: Proceedings of Shafarevich Seminar*. — Moscow: Steklov Math. Inst., 2000. — C. 15–47 (in Russian).
223. *Yagzhev A. V.* Invertibility criteria of a polynomial mapping. — Unpublished (in Russian).
224. *Zaks A.* Dedekind subrings of $K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ are rings of polynomials// *Israel J. Math.* — 1971. — 9. — P. 285–289.
225. *Zelmanov E.* On the nilpotence of nilalgebras// *Lect. Notes Math.* — 1988. — 1352. — P. 227–240.
226. *Zhao W.* New proofs for the Abhyankar–Gurjar inversion formula and the equivalence of the Jacobian conjecture and the vanishing conjecture// *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.* — 2011. — 139. — P. 3141–3154.
227. *Zhao W.* Mathieu subspaces of associative algebras// *J. Algebra*. — 2012. — 350. — P. 245–272.
228. *Zhevlakov K. A., Slin’ko A. M., Shestakov I. P., Shirshov A. I.* Nearly Associative Rings. — Moscow: Nauka, 1978 (in Russian).
229. *Zubrilin K. A.* Algebras that satisfy the Capelli identities// *Sb. Math.* — 1995. — 186, № 3. — C. 359–370.
230. *Zubrilin K. A.* On the class of nilpotence of obstruction for the representability of algebras satisfying Capelli identities// *Fundam. Prikl. Mat.* — 1995. — 1, № 2. — C. 409–430.
231. *Zubrilin K. A.* On the Baer ideal in algebras that satisfy the Capelli identities// *Sb. Math.* — 1998. — 189. — C. 1809–1818.
232. *Zaidenberg M. G.* On exotic algebraic structures on affine spaces// in: *Geometric Complex Analysis*. — World Scientific, 1996. — P. 691–714.

- 233. *Zhang W.* Alternative proof of Bergman's centralizer theorem by quantization/ Master thesis — Bar-Ilan University, 2017.
- 234. *Zhang W.* Polynomial automorphisms and deformation quantization/ Ph.D. thesis — Bar-Ilan University, 2019.
- 235. *Zubkov A. N.* Matrix invariants over an infinite field of finite characteristic// Sib. Math. J. — 1993. — 34, № 6. — С. 1059–1065.
- 236. *Zubkov A. N.* A generalization of the Razmyslov–Procesi theorem// Algebra Logic. — 1996. — 35, № 4. — С. 241–254.

Елишев Андрей Михайлович

Московский физико-технический институт (национальный исследовательский университет)

E-mail: ame1511@mail.ru

Канель-Белов Алексей Яковлевич

Московский физико-технический институт (национальный исследовательский университет)

E-mail: kanelster@gmail.com

Razavinia Farrokh

Московский физико-технический институт (национальный исследовательский университет)

E-mail: farrokh.razavinia@gmail.com

Jie-Tai Yu

Шэньчженьский университет, Шэньчжень, Китайская народная республика

E-mail: yujt@hkucc.hku.hk

Wenchao Zhang

Школа математики и статистики, Университет Хуэйчжоу, Китайская народная республика

E-mail: zhangwc@hzu.edu.cn